ProjectX

KYC ONBOARDING WITH EVIDENCE · USE-CASE BRIEF

Verify customers with a flow you can explain and evidence you can delete.

Versioned verification flows with consent captured before any check, explainable risk with a second reviewer, payloads kept in the customer's region, and deletion that leaves proof behind.

The situation

Onboarding checks run through a vendor portal. The rules change without a version, a borderline case is decided by whoever is on shift, and nobody can prove when a customer's documents were deleted.

How it works, step by step

01 **Publish the flow** · Verify
Define the steps a person or a business goes through as a versioned flow, so every attempt records the version it ran.

02 **Capture consent in region** · Verify
Consent is recorded before any check, and the applicant's payload stays within its region.

03 **Run the checks and decide** · Verify
Provider checks produce an explainable risk score; a borderline case goes to review with dual control.

04 **Delete on schedule, with proof** · Verify
Retention deletes the payload when its time is up and leaves deletion evidence behind.

What you get

01
Decisions you can explain
Each attempt carries its flow version, its checks and the reasons behind its risk score.

02
Businesses as well as people
KYB, reusable identity and bank verification run in the same product.

03
Ongoing, not one-off
Fraud signals, ongoing AML and transaction monitoring continue after onboarding.

In the console

Applicants and flow versions
Control-plane metadata only: subject identifier, residency and retention beside immutable flow versions and their bundle SKUs.

Subject	Kind	Region	Retention	Legal hold
driver-20433	person	eu-central	365 days	no
driver-20432	person	eu-central	365 days	no
carrier-nl-0087	business	eu-central	365 days	no
driver-20431	person	eu-central	365 days	no

Flow	Version	Checks	SKU
Driver onboarding	2	document, liveness, face_match, screening	kyc.standard
Carrier onboarding	1	kyb_registry, bank_account, screening	kyc.standard
Driver onboarding	1	document, liveness, screening	kyc.standard

Applicants hold references, not documents; flows are versioned. Screens shown with demo data.

Verify
northwind every workspace
Identity-verification orchestration with explicit consent, residency, explainable outcomes and reasoned human review. Only completed processed journeys draw Platform Credits; abandoned and platform-failed attempts are unbilled.

Synthetic development journeys only. No production document, biometric, sanctions or jurisdiction coverage is claimed. Sensitive payloads never appear in this control-plane workspace.

Verification attempts
State, checks, consent, risk reasons and billing evidence. Provider references stay opaque; evidence bytes are excluded.

Attempt	Region	State	Checks	Consent	Review	Billing
17f17507-de3c-468d-bff8-be2dd13beefa	eu-central	awaiting consent	not run	0 purposes	not reviewed	unbilled
382c8909-2218-48e7-b81e-14e0750d0df8	eu-central	completed approved	document: passed (synthetic_check_passed); liveness: passed (synthetic_check_passed); face_match: passed (synthetic_check_passed); screening: passed (synthetic_check_passed)	3 purposes	not reviewed	one completed journey
7169c9f2-a918-48fd-a51e-4c966526bb03	eu-central	completed approved	kyb_registry: passed (synthetic_check_passed); bank_account: passed (synthetic_check_passed); screening: passed (synthetic_check_passed)	3 purposes	not reviewed	one completed journey
ce11ae66-adb1-4d7b-9c13-707e63b54166	eu-central	review	document: passed (synthetic_check_passed); liveness: passed (synthetic_check_passed); face_match: passed (synthetic_check_passed); screening: review (synthetic_review)	3 purposes	approve: Screening hit is a different person: date of birth does not match	unbilled

Each attempt with its checks, consent, review and whether it was billed. Screens shown with demo data.

Questions buyers ask

How is it billed?
Per completed attempt, at the published Verify rates in Platform Credits, on the same invoice as the rest of the platform.

Can we prove a customer's data was deleted?
Yes. When retention deletes an applicant's data it leaves deletion evidence behind.